Hit Rate Investigation

As of 6 Dec 1996

Randall Gressang GRCI

Background

- Common Syntax and Semantics (CSS) are considered essential for efficient and effective HLA Federation Object Model (FOM) and Simulation object Model (SOM) development
- Problem to Investigate: Determine the suitability of the Defense Data Dictionary System (DDDS) as a basis for CSS entity representations
- Approach: Map DIS Protocols and selected FOM/SOMs to DDDS and estimate equivalent attributes within time/ resource constraints

Methodology

- Protocols/FOMs/SOMs to be used:
 - DIS Protocols (IEEE Std 1278)
 - Modular Reconfigurable C4I Interface (MRCI)
 - Engineering Protofederation HLA
- Compared to DDDS approved standard data elements as of 22 Aug 1996
- Comparison used DART PC based tool to capture FOM/ SOM metaobjects, perform comparisons, record results, and generate reports (DART structures based on DoDD 8320.1 requirements)

Data Capture Results to Date

- DIS: All PDUs and Records entered into DART, and 54 of the Fields
- MRCI: CTAPS SOM with all of its attributes entered, and the Communications Object
- Engineering Protofederation : Just beginning, nothing entered yet.

Structure Mapping into DART

DART Structure DIS Protocol MRCI Structure

Structure

Systems/Database DIS Protocol MRCI

Subject Area PDU SOM or Comm Object

Table Record or Variant Interaction Structure

Physical DE Field Attribute

Domain Value Enumeration Enumeration

Criteria for Equivalence

- DISA criteria for Match/Map to DDDS not used as sufficient metadata is not available
- Equivalent: semantics close, possibility of a match or map when metadata is complete
- Similar: Semantics close, but a match or map with complete metadata isn't likely. Could propose a new DDDS data element
- Questionable: Semantics may or may not be close; not enough information to decide. In some cases, may be an attribute equating to an entity, or a similar structural problem.
- **No Match**: No items with similar concepts or semantics. Could propose a new DDDS Prime Word.

Equivalence Results

Condition	DIS Protocol	MRCI
Total	54 (100%)	110 (100%)
Equivalent	20 (37%)	54 (49%)
Similar	15 (28%)	45 (41%)
Questionable	4 (7%)	8 (7%)
No Match	15 (28%)	3 (3%)

Comments on Analysis

- DDDS is most complete in logistic, contracting, and personnel areas
- DDDS is incomplete in regards to military operations
 - DDDS does not currently contain data elements necessary for monitoring plan execution; therefore awkward for C4I or Simulation use
 - DDDS does not contain much related to intelligence
 - C2 core view of DDDS is too abstract to relate well to operational data elements
 - Many Prime Words of interest to C4I have no attributes related to them